**Neoromicia rendalli** – Rendall’s Serotine
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**Taxonomy**

*Neoromicia rendalli* (Thomas 1889)

**ANIMALIA** - **CHORDATA** - **MAMMALIA** - **CHIROPTERA** - **VESPERTILIONIDAE** - *Neoromicia* - *rendalli*

**Synonyms:** *Eptesicus rendalli* (Thomas 1889), *Pipistrellus rendalli* (Thomas 1889), *Vesperugo rendalli* Thomas 1889

**Common names:** Rendall’s Serotine, Rendall’s Pipistrelle, Bat, Rendall’s Pipistrelle (English), Rendall se Dakvlermuis (Afrikaans)

**Taxonomic status:** Species

**Taxonomic notes:** Originally named in the genus *Pipistrellus* (ACR 2015). No subspecies are recognised. The possibility that the population from South Africa and Mozambique is taxonomically distinct should be investigated.

**Assessment Rationale**

This is a widely distributed species outside of the assessment region, found in moist and dry woodland savannah and shrubland and commonly associated with swamps in degraded habitats and can thus occupy habitats that have been disturbed. In southern Africa, it occurs in sparsely separated localities, and has only been recorded in Bonamanzi Game Reserve in northern KwaZulu-Natal Province within the assessment region (despite it being easily sampled with mist nets and extensive surveys having taken place in the region). However, it is possible that it may be more widely distributed in southern coastal Mozambique where suitable habitat abounds. As the sole subpopulation occurs in a protected area with no imminent threats, the locality does not qualify as a location. While the species may technically qualify for a threatened category in the assessment region, this is an extreme edge-of-range species that is common and adaptable elsewhere in Africa. Thus, we list as Least Concern. However, as the population from Mozambique and South Africa is isolated from the rest of the African range, this population may be revealed to be taxonomically distinct, and thus a reassessment will be needed. Additionally, as there are no recent records for the South African population, field surveys are required to determine its continued existence in the assessment region. Once such data are available, reassessment will be necessary.

**Regional population effects:** This species has been recorded from Palmiera in southern Mozambique and is suspected to occur more extensively in the region. However, it flies low to the ground and has low wing-loading so rescue effects are uncertain.

**Distribution**

This species has been widely, but patchily, recorded over much of sub-Saharan Africa, ranging from Senegal in the west, through West and Central Africa to Somalia in the east, and as far south as South Africa. It occurs at a few widely separated localities in southern Africa, having been recorded from the southern DRC, south-central Zambia, Chiromo in southern Malawi, the Okavango Delta in northern Botswana (Monadjem et al. 2010), Mana Pools National Park in Zimbabwe (Rautenbach & Fenton 1992), the Tete Province (Skinner & Chimimba 2005) and Palmiera in Mozambique (Monadjem et al. 2010). In the assessment region, the species is only recorded from Bonamanzi Game Reserve (Kearney & Taylor 1997), near Hluhluwe and iSimangaliso Wetland Park in KwaZulu-Natal (Figure 1). Surveys in suitable habitat across the range, including neighbouring protected areas, have revealed no further subpopulations. As this species is relatively easy to sample using conventional capture techniques (e.g. mist nets), it is likely that Bonamanzi represents the only locality.

**Population**

In southern Africa, this species is very poorly represented in museums, with just 17 records examined in Monadjem et al. (2010). It appears to be a locally rare species, usually occurring as moderately small colonies of several...
Figure 1. Distribution records for Rendall’s Serotine (Neoromicia rendalli) within the assessment region

Table 1. Countries of occurrence within southern Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Presence</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>Extant</td>
<td>Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Extant</td>
<td>Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Presence uncertain</td>
<td>Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Extant</td>
<td>Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Extant</td>
<td>Native</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

individuals up to a few dozen animals (ACR 2015). Though only recorded from one locality in the assessment region, this species is widespread and common throughout the rest of the continent.

**Current population trend:** Stable

**Continuing decline in mature individuals:** None

**Number of mature individuals in population:** < 100

**Number of mature individuals in largest subpopulation:** < 100

**Number of subpopulations:** 1

**Severely fragmented:** No

### Habitats and Ecology

This species has been recorded from moist and dry woodland savannah, moist and dry tropical shrubland, and deforested areas of formerly tropical moist lowland forest. It is associated with water bodies (Skinner & Chimimba 2005; Monadjem et al. 2010), and appears to be commensal with humans in some areas. In the assessment region, the species is recorded from the Lowveld Bioregion. It appears to be solitary, although several individuals were observed feeding together over a pan at Bonamanzi (P. Taylor and T. Kearney unpubl. data). Nothing is known about its roosting habits in southern Africa, but elsewhere in its range it roosts singly or in small groups; roosting sites include tree holes, dense fronds of palm trees, thatched huts, brick walls and rafters (Rosevear 1965). Similarly, there is no information about its diet in southern Africa. In Kenya, its diet comprised mainly Lepidoptera (Whitaker & Mumford 1978). It flies low, less than 2 m from the ground (Skinner & Chimimba 2005), and thus is easily netted.

**Ecosystem and cultural services:** None known

### Use and Trade

This species is not known to be utilised or traded in any form.

### Threats

In some parts of its range, including the one locality in the assessment region, this species is possibly threatened by the conversion of its habitat to agricultural use. For example, clearing lala palms was listed as its major threat in Friedmann and Daly (2004). It is uncertain whether this is occurring within Bonamanzi. Additionally, climate change may represent an emerging threat as anecdotal
The small stream in Bonamanzi, sensu The Red List of Mammals of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Managers should determine current occupancy inside Bonamanzi and identify key roosting sites and implement measures to protect them.

Current habitat trend: Stable overall, as savannahs are not threatened within the assessment region (Driver et al. 2012), and may not be significantly disturbed by habitat transformation for human residency. However, there is ongoing loss of natural habitat within KwaZulu-Natal Province: there was a 20.4% loss of natural habitat in KwaZulu-Natal from 1994 to 2011, with an average loss of 1.2% per annum due primarily to agriculture (Jewitt et al. 2015). Similarly, human settlement expansion along the edges of protected areas (sensu Wittemyer et al. 2008) may increase rates of fuelwood harvesting.

Conservation

No specific conservation interventions can be recommended until more research is conducted to quantify habitat preferences, threats and identify further potential subpopulations. The only known record of this species in South Africa is outside the protected area isimangaliso Wetland Park but inside Bonamnazi Game Reserve (private). Managers should determine current occupancy inside Bonamanzi and identify key roosting sites and implement measures to protect them.

Recommendations for land managers and practitioners:
- Establish a systematic monitoring programme.
- Protect key roosting sites on Bonamanzi Game Reserve.

Research priorities:
- Further research is needed into the distribution of this patchily recorded species (ACR 2015).
- Studies documenting roosting habits and subpopulation sizes and trends in southern Africa.
- Research quantifying habitat preferences and threats.
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Table 2. Threats to the Rendall’s Serotine (Neoromicia rendalli) ranked in order of severity with corresponding evidence (based on IUCN threat categories, with regional context)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Threat description</th>
<th>Evidence in the scientific literature</th>
<th>Data quality</th>
<th>Scale of study</th>
<th>Current trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1.2 Annual &amp; Perennial Non-timber Crops: small-holder farming expansion reducing habitat and habitat quality.</td>
<td>Jewitt et al. 2015</td>
<td>Indirect (remote sensing)</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.3.3 Logging &amp; Wood Harvesting: habitat loss and degradation from fuelwood harvesting.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Anecdotal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Possibly increasing due to human settlement expansion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.2 Droughts: increased frequency and duration of droughts from climate change.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Anecdotal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Increasing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Conservation interventions for the Rendall’s Serotine (Neoromicia rendalli) ranked in order of effectiveness with corresponding evidence (based on IUCN action categories, with regional context)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Intervention description</th>
<th>Evidence in the scientific literature</th>
<th>Data quality</th>
<th>Scale of evidence</th>
<th>Demonstrated impact</th>
<th>Current conservation projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1 Site/Area Management: protection of key roost sites required.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Anecdotal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Sources and Quality

Table 4. Information and interpretation qualifiers for the Rendall’s Serotine (Neoromicia rendalli) assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data sources</th>
<th>Museum records, indirect information (literature, expert knowledge)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data quality (max)</td>
<td>Suspected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data quality (min)</td>
<td>Suspected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty resolution</td>
<td>Expert consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk tolerance</td>
<td>Evidentiary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Research into taxonomic distinctiveness of the South Africa and Mozambique population.
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